Harmonics and Their Impact in Determining the Method of Reactive Power Compensation in Electrical Grids

Ahmad Alzakkar Institute of Electrical Engineering Kazan State Power Engineering University Kazan, Russia ahmadalzakkar86@gmail.com Nikolay Mestnikov Institute of Heat Power Kazan State Power Engineering University Kazan, Russia sakhacase@bk.ru Ilgiz Valeev Institute of Electrical Engineering Kazan State Agrarian University Kazan, Russia ilgizvaleev@mail.yandex.ru

Abstract—The paper presents the modeling of different ways to compensate for the reactive power of an electrical grid using Matlab, where the parameters of transformers and filters used in this exam are calculated. The network is modeled, the calculated parameters of transformers and filters are entered. The paper discusses different cases to compensate the reactive power and presents the process of selecting a way providing low-value harmonics. Note that the grid is three-phase and balanced and filters are installed on 5.5 KV grid. The results prove that the best way to compensate the reactive power is to install the resonant shunt filter tuned on (5th) harmonic and the (2nd) damped filter tuned on the (7th) harmonic.

Keywords—harmonic, spectrum, total harmonic distortion (THD), disturbing equipment, parallel resonant (anti-resonant)

NOMENCLATURE

THD: Total harmonic distortion (in per unit).

 f_1 Fundamental frequency (Hz).

 f_1 Anti-resonance frequency (Hz).

 f_r Resonance frequency (Hz).

 n_{ar} The order of anti-resonance (in per unit).

 n_r The order of resonance (in per unit).

q Quality factor of a reactor.

Q Quality factor of a filter (in per unit).

 $Q_{\text{Reactive power (var).}}$

 P_0 Loss of active power (W).

 i_0 Transformer current without load (A).

I. INTRODUCTION

Electricity is generally distributed as three voltage waves forming a 3-phase sinusoidal system. One of the characteristics

978-1-7281-4590-7/20/\$31.00 ©2020 IEEE

of such a system is its waveform, which must always remain as close as possible to that of a pure sine wave[1],[2],[3]. If distorted beyond certain limits, as is often the case on networks comprising sources of harmonic currents and voltages such as arc furnaces, static power converters [4],[5] lighting systems, etc., the waveform must be corrected [6].Demonstration of the effect of harmonics generated in electrical networks on the method of compensation reactive power.

II. CALCULATE THE PARAMETERS OF THE TRANSFORMER FILTERS USED IN THE ELECTRICAL GRID



Fig. 1. .Electric power grid [5].

Calculate the first transformer parameters:

From fig.1, the first transformer constants can be observed:

 $U_n=20[KV]$, Sn=5000 [KVA], Usc= 7.5%,

Pcu = 40 [Kw]

To calculate the magnetic resistance(Rm) and the inductance(Lm) should be know (Po)and (io%)[7],[8]:

 $S = 5[MVA], H_{v} = 20[KV], L_{v} = 5.5[KV],$ $U_{sc}\% = 7.5, P_{sc} = 40[Kw], P_{0} = 7.88[Kw], i_{0}\% = 0.95$

Now we can calculate the first transformer parameters: Primary coil:

$$R_{T-1} = \frac{Psc \times U_1^2}{S_n^2} \times 10^3 = 0.64[\Omega]$$

$$X_1 = \frac{U_{sc} \times U_1^2}{S_n} \times 10 = 6[\Omega]$$

$$L_1 = \frac{X}{2 \times \pi \times f} = .019[H]$$
(1)

Secondary coil:

$$R_{T-2} = \frac{Psc \times U_2^{\ 2}}{(S_n^{\ 2})} \times 10^3 = 0.0484[\Omega]$$

$$X_2 = \frac{U_{sc} \times U_2^{\ 2}}{S_n} \times 10 = 0.453[\Omega]$$

$$L_2 = \frac{X}{2 \times \pi \times f} = 1.441 \times 10^{-3}[H]$$
(2)

For transformer:

$$G_{m} = \frac{Po}{Un^{2} \times 10^{3}} = 1.97 \times 10^{-5} [Sm]$$

$$B_{m} = \frac{io \times Sn}{Un^{2} \times 10^{5}} = 1.1875 \times 10^{-4} [Sm]$$

$$Y = G_{m} - jB_{m} = 1.97 \times 10^{-5} - j1.1875 \times 10^{-4} [Sm]$$

$$Z_{m} = R_{m} + jX_{m} = 1359.59 + j8195.5 [\Omega]$$

$$L = \frac{X_{m}}{2\pi \times f} = 26.087 [H]$$
(3)

Calculate the second transformer parameters:

From fig.1, the second transformer constants can be observed:

To calculate the magnetic resistance(Rm) and the inductance(Lm) should be know (Po)and (io%):

$$S = 1[MVA], H_{V} = 5.5[KV], L_{V} = 0.4[KV], U_{sc}\% = 5,$$

$$P_{sc} = 12[Kw], P_{0} = 2.1[Kw], i_{0}\% = 1.4$$

Now we can calculate the second transformer parameters: Primary coil:

$$R = \frac{Psc \times U_{1}^{2}}{S_{n}^{2}} \times 10^{3} = 0.363[\Omega]$$

$$X_{1} = \frac{U_{sc} \times U_{1}^{2}}{S_{n}} \times 10 = 1.512[\Omega]$$

$$L_{1} = \frac{X}{2 \times \pi \times f} = 4.812 \times 10^{-3}[H]$$
(4)

Secondary coil:

$$R_{T-2} = \frac{Psc \times U_2^{2}}{(S_n^{2})} \times 10^3 = 1.92 \times 10^{-3} [\Omega]$$

$$X_2 = \frac{U_{sc} \times U_2^{2}}{S_n} \times 10 = 8 \times 10^{-3} [\Omega]$$

$$L_2 = \frac{X}{2 \times \pi \times f} = 2.545 \times 10^{-5} [H]$$
(5)

For transformer:

$$G_{m} = \frac{Po}{Un^{2} \times 10^{3}} = 3.942 \times 10^{-5} [Sm]$$

$$B_{m} = \frac{io \times Sn}{Un^{2} \times 10^{5}} = 4.628 \times 10^{-4} [Sm]$$

$$Y = G_{m} - jB_{m} = 3.942 \times 10^{-5} - j4.628 \times 10^{-4} [Sm]$$

$$Z_{m} = R_{m} + jX_{m} = 316.98 + j2113.21 [\Omega]$$

$$L = \frac{X_{m}}{2\pi \times f} = 6.7265 [H]$$
(6)

Calculate short-circuit (Ssc)[9], short-circuit resistance (rsc) and short-circuit inductance(Lsc)

From Fig.1: Un=20[KV], Isc = 12.5 [KA]

$$S_{sc} = U_{n} \times I_{sc} = 20 \times 12.5 = 250[MVA]$$

$$Z_{sc} = \frac{U_{n}^{2}}{\sqrt{3} \times S_{sc}} = 0.923[\Omega]$$

$$X_{sc} = 0.98 \times Z_{sc} = 0.904[\Omega]$$

$$L_{sc} = \frac{X_{sc}}{2\pi \times f} = 2.879 \times 10^{-3}[H]$$

$$r_{sc} = 0.2 \times Z_{sc} = 0.1846[\Omega]$$
(7)

The calculation of the reactive power to be compensated in this network:

From Fig.1: Load:

$$P_{L} = S_{L} \times \cos \phi_{1} = 450[KW]$$

$$Q_{L} = S_{L} \times \sin \phi_{1} = 217.5[KVAR]$$
(8)

Motor : Pn=560[KW] $\cos \phi_2 = 0.92$, PF=0.8

$$P_{m} = \frac{P_{n}}{\eta} = 608.69[KW]$$

$$S_{m} = \frac{P_{m}}{\cos \phi_{2}} = 760.8[KVA]$$

$$Q_{m} = S_{m} \times \sin \phi_{2} = 456.48[KVAR]$$
(9)

Disturbing Equipment: S=2000[KVA], PF=0.98

$$P_D = S_D \times \cos \phi_3 = 1960[KW]$$

$$Q_D = S_D \times \sin \phi_3 = 397.99[KVAR]$$
(10)

$$\sum Q = Q_L + Q_m + Q_D =$$

$$\sum Q = 1071.97[KVAR]$$
(11)

Reactive power to be compensated:

$$Q_c = 1000 \left[KVAR \right] \tag{12}$$

The power scheme for the electric grid:



Fig. 2. The power scheme for the electric grid.

III. METHODS OF COMPENSATING REACTIVE POWER: Capacitor bank alone to compensate reactive power:



Fig. 3. Bank of capacitors compensates connected as (λ)

The reactive power required to be compensated from bank of capacitors is $Q_c = 1000 \ [KVAR]$

Since the load is three phases and balanced, the capacitors will be connected to the rod in which the harmonic disturbance device is connected 5.5[KV].

We should be calculated [10],[11],[12],[13]:

The capacitance of the reactive power compensation [14] of one phase:

$$C = \frac{Q_{\text{var},ph}}{U_{ph}^{2} \times 2 \times \pi \times f_{1}} = 1.0522 \times 10^{-4} [F]$$
(13)

Short-circuit inductance of the secondary coil:

$$L_{sc,2} = K^2 \times L_{sc,1} = 2.178 \times 10^{-4} [\text{H}]$$
(14)

Anti-resonance frequency:

$$f_{ar} = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{(L_{sc} + L_{T}).C}} = 381.56 [Hz]$$
(15)

The order of anti-resonance:

$$n_{ar} = \frac{f_{ar}}{f_1} = 7.63 \tag{16}$$

Reactor-connected capacitor bank to compensate reactive power:



Fig. 4. .Bank of capacitors compensates with inductances connected as (λ)

This equipment is arbitrarily tuned to

$$f_r = 4.8 \times f_1 = 240 [\text{Hz}] \tag{17}$$

Inductance connected to capacitor compensation:

$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \times f_r^2 \times C} = 4.1794 \times 10^{-3} [\text{H}]$$
(18)

Resonant shunt filter tuned to the 5th harmonic and a damped filter tuned to the 7th harmonic:

In this case, the distribution of the reactive power between the two filters is such that the filtered 5th and 7th voltage harmonics have roughly the same value:

(19)

 $Q_{\text{var},rf} = Q_{\text{var},df} = 500[KVAR]$

Fig. 5. Resonant shunt filter tuned to the 5th harmonic.

 $Q_{\rm var} = 500 [KVAR]$

 $n_r = 5$ The order of resonance:

The quality factor of a filter: Q = 75

$$C = \frac{Q_{\text{var}}}{\frac{n_r^2}{n_r^2 - 1} \times U^2 \times 2 \times \pi \times f_1} = 5.0508 \times 10^{-5} [F] \quad f_r = n_r \times f_1 = 250 [\text{Hz}]}$$
(20)
$$L = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2 \times f_r^2 \times C} = 8.0241 \times 10^{-3} [\text{H}] \quad X_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = 12.604 [\Omega]$$
$$r = \frac{X_0}{Q} = 0.168 [\Omega]$$



Fig. 6. Damped filter tuned to the 7th harmonic.

$$Q_{\rm var} = 500 [KVAR]$$

$$C = \frac{Q_{\text{var}}}{\frac{n_r^2}{n_r^2 - 1} \times U^2 \times 2 \times \pi \times f_1} = 5.1539 \times 10^{-5} [F] \quad f_r = n_r \times f_1 = 350 [\text{Hz}]}$$
(21)
$$L = \frac{(1 + Q \times q)^2}{(2\pi \times q)^2 \times (Q^2 - 1)^2 \times f_r^2 \times C} = 4.2075 \times 10^{-3} [\text{H}]}$$

$$X_0 = \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} = 9.028 [\Omega] \Rightarrow r = \frac{X_0}{q} = \frac{9.028}{75} = 0.12 [\Omega]$$

$$R = X_0 \times Q = 45.144 [\Omega]$$

IV. MODELING THE ELECTRICAL GRID USING MATLAB [15],[16] AND DISCUSSING THE DIFFERENT COMPENSATION CASES FOR REACTIVE POWER

Modelling the electrical grid:



Fig. 7. Modeling the electrical grid.

Fig.7 Illustrates Modeling the electrical grid using Matlab where we entered: Parameters of the(First transformer-Second transformer-Motor Disturbing equipment-Load).

Discussing the different compensation cases:

Capacitor bank alone to compensate reactive power:



Fig. 8. The network harmonic impedance curve.

Fig.8 shows the curve of the resistance of the grid to the harmonic angle seen from the node where the harmonic currents are injected, exhibits a maximum(anti-resonance) in the vicinity of the 7th current.



Fig. 9. Harmonic voltage spectrum of a 5.5[KV] network.

TADIEI

Harmonic Voltage	3	5	7	9	11	13
%	0.02%	8.21%	11%	0.22%	2.8%	1.4%

HADMONIC VOLTACE

This results in an unacceptable individual harmonic voltage[17] distortion of 11% for the 7th harmonic.



Fig. 10. Value of total harmonic distortion (THDV).

The following characteristics are also unacceptable: From fig.10, total harmonic voltage distortion of 14.25% for 5.5[KV] the network. Compared to the maximum permissible value of 5%. This percentage is unacceptable[18]. The solution with capacitors alone is therefore unacceptable. Reactor-connected capacitor bank to compensate reactive power: This equipment is arbitrarily tuned to:

$$f_r = 4.8 \times f_1 = 4.8 \times 50 = 240 [\text{Hz}]$$
(22)



Fig. 11. The network harmonic impedance curve.

Fig.11 shows the network harmonic impedance curve seen from the node where the harmonic currents are injected, exhibits a maximum of 23 ohms (anti-resonance) in the vicinity of the 4th current.



Fig. 12. .Harmonic voltage spectrum of a 5.5[KV] network.

TABLE II. HARMONIC VOLTAGE

Harmonic Voltage	3	5	7	9	11	13
%	0.012 %	0.60 %	1.508%	0.031%	2%	1.4%

For the 5.5[KV] network, the individual harmonic voltage ratios of 1.508%(7th harmonic),2% (11th harmonic) and 1.4%(13th harmonic) may be too high for certain sensitive loads.



Fig. 13. Value of total harmonic distortion(THDV).

From fig.13 the total harmonic voltage distortion of 3.4% is acceptable. Resonant shunt filter[19] tuned to the 5th harmonic and a damped filter tuned to the 7th harmonic:



Fig. 14. The network harmonic impedance curve.

Fig.14 shows the network harmonic impedance curve, seen from the node where the harmonic currents [20] are injected, exhibits a maximum of 14 ohms (anti-resonance)in the vicinity of the 4th current. For the 5th harmonic, this impedance is reduced to the reactor resistance. For the 7th harmonic, the low, purely resistive impedance of the damped filter also reduces the individual harmonic voltage. For harmonics higher than the tuning frequency, the damped filter impedance curve reduces the corresponding harmonic voltages. This equipment, therefore, offers an improvement over the second solution (reactor-connected capacitors).



Fig. 15. Harmonic voltage spectrum of a 5.5[KV] network.

TABLE III. HARMONIC VOLTAGE

Harmonic Voltage	3	5	7	9	11	13
%	-	0.274%	1.08%	-	1.74%	1.3%

For the 5.5[KV] network, the individual harmonic voltage ratios of 0.274%(5th harmonic), 1.08% (7th harmonic), 1.74%(11th harmonic) and 1.3%(13th harmonic) may be too high for most sensitive loads.

From fig.16: the total voltage distortion of 3.6% is acceptable.



Fig. 16. Value of total harmonic distortion(THDV).

V. CONCLUSION

In the case of electrical networks, where (THDV). is less (5%), reactive power can be compensated directly by a capacitor bank to compensate for reactive power, but if (THDV).is greater (5%), the filters should be placed in parallel with the capacitor bank to compensate for reactive power. Finally filters should be used to remove electrical networks from harmonic electrical devices and compensating capacitors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I thank the management of Kazan state power engineering university for their support to do this work. I thank Prof. Valeev.I.M and Assoc. Prof. Maksimov.V.V for their genuine support to complete this article successfully.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Ibrahim and M. Sefer, Performance Evaluation of Harmonics on Power Quality. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net.
- [2] Harmonics in power systems, Siemens Industry. USA, 2013.
- [3] Z. Hameed, M. Sial, and A. Yousaf, "Harmonics in Electrical Power Systems and how to remove them by using filters in ETAP," Proc. of the 3rd Int. Conf. on Engineering and Emerging Technologies, 2016.
- [4] T. Taufik, Introduction to Harmonics in Power System. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net.
- [5] A. J. Mehta and K. L. Mokariya, "Harmonics in Power System And its Mitigation Techniques," 2010. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net.
- [6] C. Collombet, J. Lupin, and J. Schonek, Harmonic Disturbances in Networks and their Treatment. Germany: Schneider Electric, 1999.
- [7] A. Farouk, Analysis of Electrical Networks. Syria: University of Al-Baath, 2006.
- [8] The Methods of Reactive Power Compensation in the 25 Kv,50 Hz Contact Network. Lithuania: Vilnius Gediminas Technical University, 2018.
- [9] D. Noblat, F. Dumas, and C. Poulain, Calculation of Short Circuit Currents. Schneider Electric, 2005.
- [10] P.Tenti, P. Mattavelli, and E. Tedeschi, "Compensation Techniques Based on Reactive Power Conservation," Journal Electrical Power Quality and Utilisation, Italy, vol. 13, pp. 17–24, 2007.

- [11] F. Roos and R. C. Bansal, "Reactive Power and Harmonic Compensation: A Case Study for the Coal Mining industry," Journal of Energy in Southern Africa, vol. 30, pp. 34–48, 2019.
- [12] Z. Hassan and Gagan Yadav, "Reactive Power Compensation and Limiting Harmonic Current Using Shunt Active Power Filter," Int. Journal of Trend in Scientific Research and Development, vol. 2, pp. 50–54, 2018.
- [13] S. Gadre and P. Iyer, "Reactive Power Compensation by Using FACTS Devices under Non-Sinusoidal Condition by Using MATLAB Simulation," Int. Journal for Scientific Research and Development, vol. 3, pp. 937–941, 2015.
- [14] M. Sathe and G. Chaudhari, "A Review on Reactive Power Compensation Technologies," Int. Journal for Scientific Research and Development, pp. 249–253, 2017.
- [15] S. Sharma and D. Patel, "Modelling and Simulation of FC-TCR for Reactive Power Compensation Using the MATLAB/Simulink," Int.

Journal of Advances in Engineering and Technology, vol. 7, pp. 1767–1773, 2015.

- [16] K. Sree Latha and M. Vijayakumar, "Implementation of FC-TCR for Reactive Power Control," Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering, vol. 7, pp. 1–5, 2013.
- [17] F. H. Costal and J. C. de Oliveiral, "A Case Study of Sharing the Harmonic Voltage Distortion Responsibility between the Utility and the Consumer," Int. Conf. on Renewable Energies and Power Quality, 2009.
- [18] T. M. Blooming and D. J. Carnovale, Application of IEEE STD 519-1992 Harmonic Limits. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net.
- [19] H. Rezapour and H. Falaghi, "Modeling and Evaluation of Resonance in Shunt Active Power Filters," 26th Iranian Conf. on Electrical Engineering, pp. 1160–1165, 2018.
- [20] H. Mostafa and W. Elmamlouk, Harmonic Currents Circulation in Electrical Networks Simulation and Analysis. [Online]. Available: https://www.researchgate.net.